

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/982,925	10/22/2001	Naoyuki Sawasaki	1075.1177	8458	
21171 7:	590 11/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20005		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s) SAWASAKI, NAOYUKI	
Office Action Summer	09/982,925		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	ugust 2006		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	nsecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-13,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in t	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-13,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	:d.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	аселе Аррисацоп	

Application/Control Number: 09/982,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 24, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-5, 9-13, 24 and 25 are pending. Applicant has cancelled claims 6-8 and 14-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9-13, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novak, US 5,497,314.

Novak discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-12, an automated apparatus for tele-inventory using a camera, e.g. 10, for capturing digital images and marker means, e.g. col. 7, lines 27-33. Novak does not explicitly disclose a pointer means or being operated from a different time zone. However, a camera capturing digital images using a pointer and

Application/Control Number: 09/982,925 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

further implemented from a different time zone has been common knowledge in the camera art. Official Notice of such is taken. To have provided such for Novak would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant's August 24, 2006 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

- 5. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627